

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.upup.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,101	08/30/2001	Yoshiki Nishibayashi	50212-270	6906
20277 7	590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L	
600 13TH STR WASHINGTO	EET, N.W. N. DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2879	
	.,			
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
055-14-55-12	09/942,10	)1	NISHIBAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Joseph L.		2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Letersigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR1, after Six (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutories I NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutories Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)  Status	136(a). In no evoly within the stat will apply and we, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 S	September 2	2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 7 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)⊟ Some * c)⊟ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)							
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,101

Art Unit: 2879

### DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed on 03 September 2003 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

Claims 5, 6, and 8-10 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 Regarding claims 5, 6, and 8-10, the claims are dependent upon claims 1 or 7, which have been withdrawn. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 5, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (US 5,869,169).

Regarding claim 5, Jones ('169) teaches in figures 2 and 3 and in column 4, lines 21-30 an electronic device (50) comprising: an electron-emitting element (20) and an electron extraction electrode (anode plate 64) placed to oppose the sharp-pointed portion (29), with a voltage (not shown) being applied between the electron extraction electrode and the electron-emitting element, wherein the electron emitting portion has a polygonal cross section and a further intermediate portion (27) located between the base portion (25) and the sharp pointed portion.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,101

Art Unit: 2879

The Examiner notes that the claim limitations of how the electron-emitting elements are formed, is drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior ad by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product-by-process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claim 6, Jones ('169) teaches a metal gate (52) formed around the base portion of the electron-emitting element, and a power supply (not shown) for applying a voltage to the gate electrode.

Regarding claim 8, Jones ('169) teaches an electronic device (50) comprising: an electron-emitting element (20) and an electron extraction electrode (anode plate (64)) placed to oppose the sharp-pointed portion (29), with a voltage (not shown) being applied between the electron extraction electrode and the electron-emitting element, wherein the electron emitting portion has a polygonal cross section and a further intermediate portion (27) located between the base portion (25) and the sharp pointed portion.

The Examiner notes that the claim limitations of how the electron-emitting elements are formed, is drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing

Application/Control Number: 09/942,101

Art Unit: 2879

of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product-by-process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, figure 2 discloses the base portion (25) is in the shape of a pyramid, and the intermediate portion (27) is in the shape of a prism.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 6, and 8-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2879

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JSAV JLW

ASHOK PATEL